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1. Introduction to RePORT

The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has a history of supporting global high impact tuberculosis (TB) research, while expanding local capacity for conducting such research in high-burden settings. Toward that end, in 2012 the US Division of AIDS (DAIDS) launched a series of collaborative, bilaterally-funded research networks in TB high-burden countries starting with the government of India. Since then, government entities in Brazil, Indonesia, South Africa, China, and the Philippines have followed and provided co-funding along with DAIDS to form the Regional Prospective Observational Research for Tuberculosis (RePORT) International consortium of networks (Fig. 1). RePORT consortia are comprised of study sites within each participating country. After a few years of site training and start-up, RePORT International has started to make substantive contributions to the global TB research agenda and increase capacity of scientists and institutions in high-burden settings to do high impact clinical research.

The vision for RePORT International is to enable a standardized platform for data and specimen collection in prospective TB cohorts, forming the basis for collaborative biomarker and other scientific research of the future, including potential treatment and vaccine trials [1]. The standardized platform is formalized in the Common Protocol and associated documents, and includes a set of data elements with prescribed formatting and definitions (see RePORT Toolkit [https://www.reportinternational.org/]). Some country projects began de novo with the Common Protocol, while others adopted it along with a data element strategy in the course of ongoing site-specific parent protocol cohort enrollments. The Common Protocol specifies enrollment of persons into two prospective cohorts: Cohort A is comprised of individuals with active pulmonary tuberculosis disease and Cohort B is comprised of household contacts of active pulmonary tuberculosis patients. These cohorts provide the opportunity to study patients at risk for treatment failure and relapse (Cohort A) and at risk for progression to TB disease (Cohort B). Several consortium sites have particular emphases, such as pediatric patients and persons with diabetes.

The third meeting of RePORT International took place in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, September 12–13, 2017. Speakers included a combination of RePORT investigators and invited experts. In addition, as part of the group’s capacity-building goal, DAIDS funded six junior investigators who were competitively selected to attend the meeting and present ongoing research relating to RePORT projects. The meeting provided the opportunity to assess progress from the inception of RePORT International and prior meetings [2], with an aim to identify critical gaps in current TB research efforts and opportunities for leveraging the RePORT International infrastructure to address these gaps. The meeting also highlighted Brazilian scientists and their contributions to TB research.

2. Background and progress of RePORT consortia

Globally, TB is the leading cause of death from a single infectious agent [3]. The WHO estimates that 1.3 million persons died from TB in 2016, with an additional 0.4 million deaths due to TB in persons living with HIV [4]. There were 10.4 million new cases of TB of which more than 4 million were undiagnosed or untreated. Despite declines in worldwide TB mortality and incidence for over a decade, tremendous disparities exist in the global distribution of TB. Additional factors such as drug resistance and HIV co-infection further complicate progress towards global TB elimination targets. Each country involved in RePORT International is on at least one of three lists of WHO high burden countries (TB, MDR-TB, TB/HIV) that form the basis of focus for global action [5]. Factors such as inadequate sample size, lack of access to biological specimens, and poor data quality limit the ability of TB research in some high-burden settings to answer important translational research questions. Furthermore, a lack of compatibility between data elements from different national and international studies may limit attempts to combine existing study data. RePORT International was designed to mitigate these limitations by providing a platform to collect standardized clinical and laboratory data across many sites.

RePORT International consortia and research sites within each country are at various stages of obtaining regulatory approvals, enrolling subjects into their own parent protocols, co-enrolling eligible subjects into the RePORT International Common Protocol cohorts, and storing well characterized samples from TB patients and their contacts at different time points for future biomarker research (Table 1). In many cases, the DAIDS and country-specific government co-funding for RePORT sites occurs alongside substantial funding from other funding sources for site-specific projects, thereby furthering opportunities for advances in TB research. The financial contribution made by countries that are part of RePORT International ranges from self-funded to matching funds or up to about one-third of the study costs, and investigators from each site have a wide range of additional funding for parent site-specific projects.

Fig. 1. Timeline of funding initiation for the RePORT International consortia Common Protocol. Parent research protocol initiation occurred on a different timeline.
Brazil was the first country to start enrolling patients into the Common Protocol. RePORT Brazil sites are involved in numerous areas of research, including transcriptional profiling in TB and diabetes mellitus with a focus on eicosanoids and inflammatory vs. anti-inflammatory balance. Multiple studies are assessing TB-HIV co-infection, including transcriptional signatures of TB in advanced HIV and predictors of treatment toxicity, failure, and relapse in TB-HIV co-infection.

In India, RePORT sites represent a mix of urban and rural, geographically disparate populations, and bring unique interests and expertise to their parent projects. The specific areas of interest include the impact of diabetes on TB severity among adults, host and microbial factors associated with active and latent TB infection in children and adults, the role of cellular immunity in preventing progression to active TB, risk factors for treatment relapse and progression to active TB, and the role of the host determinants in the eicosanoid pathway as modulators of the inflammatory response, disease outcome, and treatment responsiveness in TB. In addition, a vaccine study on candidate VPM 1002, with a view to reduce relapse in subjects who have successfully completed TB treatment, has begun recruitment at multiple RePORT India sites (NCT03152903).

Research priorities for RePORT sites in South Africa include the search for biomarkers for the prediction of progression of infected individuals to active TB and TB treatment response, the evaluation of phenotypic drug tolerance in sputum, the characterization of neutrophil phenotypic markers and bioenergetics in culture conversion, community based active case finding with Xpert Omni, and quantifying infectiousness of undiagnosed TB cases. Areas of interest involving household contacts include the characterization of asymptomatic TB and its short-term progression and the identification of paucibacillary household contacts. Projects focused on pediatric TB include the evaluation of the performance of Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra in both sputum and stool specimens, the use of oral swabs for TB diagnosis, and biomarkers for TB diagnosis in children.

Under the Indonesia Research Partnership on Infectious Disease (INA-RESPOND) network, RePORT Indonesia has begun enrollment in Cohort A of the Common Protocol. This is linked to parent studies focused on assessment of new and previously treated TB among patients with drug-resistant TB in Indonesia.

The most recent consortium additions to RePORT International are China and the Philippines. In China, research sites and suitable parent studies have been identified for enrollment in Cohort A of the Common Protocol. The Philippine government has approved two projects and has sanctioned funding for the same under the RePORT umbrella. The sites are in the process of setting up and obtaining necessary regulatory and other approvals.

RePORT International consortia are involved in multiple areas of cutting-edge TB research, and the RePORT International meeting highlighted progress in the areas of TB-HIV co-infection, TB and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), host immune response to Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection and disease, and factors associated with M. tuberculosis transmission. The following sections feature some of the advances and opportunities for further investigation at and among RePORT International consortium sites highlighted during the conference, as well as challenges and shortcomings of RePORT International.

3. HIV-related tuberculosis

3.1. TB-HIV in Brazil

Several RePORT International consortia have sites in regions with a substantial burden of TB-HIV co-infection. Dr. Valeria Rolla, a leader in the RePORT Brazil consortium, reported on the clinical importance of improved understanding and monitoring of TB and HIV drug-drug interactions in Brazil, particularly given the rapid expansion of access to antiretroviral therapy (ART). Rifampicin-based TB regimens and ART are associated with morbidity and mortality due to drug-induced hepatitis and other adverse reactions. These events may incur substantial additional costs because of added outpatient visits, tests, and in more serious instances, hospitalizations.

Efavirenz as a backbone of fixed-dose combination (FDC) HIV treatment is giving way to raltegravir in Brazilian patients with CD4 counts < 100 cells/mm³ who meet severe HIV disease criteria due to the increasing incidence of primary resistance to efavirenz in Brazil [6]. And while ART is generally better tolerated when the backbone includes non-nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) instead of protease inhibitors (PI), options that include PIs are critical when resistance has developed. Drug regimens for TB-HIV co-infected patients that are well tolerated, effective, and locally accessible are urgently needed. Dolutegravir is not currently recommended for TB patients in Brazil due to concern for drug-drug interactions and possible reduction of rifampicin concentrations [7]. The RePORT network is well suited to investigate the pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenomic basis for TB and HIV therapy for drugs like the PIs and dolutegravir, as well as assess implementation of such regimens.

3.2. TB-HIV pharmacogenomics

Dr. David Haas discussed the pharmacogenomics of drugs used to treat TB and HIV, leading to discussions about the potential role for RePORT International in this area of research. Polymorphisms in drug metabolism and transport genes, immune response genes, and mitochondrial DNA confer inter-individual variability in efficacy, toxicity and/or pharmacokinetics of various antimicrobials. Regarding anti-TB drug pharmacogenetics, isoniazid is the most extensively studied. Loss-of-function NAT2 alleles are frequent in all populations, and individuals carrying one or two loss-of-function alleles have intermediate or slow acetylator phenotypes, respectively, and progressively greater plasma half-lives of isoniazid.

Several RePORT International consortia have sites in regions with a high frequency of isoniazid slow acetylators. Meta-analyses involving multiple TB treatment cohorts, with odds ratios ranging from ∼2 to 5 [12–14]. A small randomized clinical trial of TB patients in Japan, which compared standard isoniazid dosing (5 mg/kg) to NAT2 genotype-guided dosing (2.5 mg/kg for slow, 5 mg/kg for intermediate, and 7.5 mg/kg for fast acetylators) [15], found that genotype-guided dosing yielded fewer treatment failures in rapid
acetylators and less hepatotoxicity in slow acetylators, although treatment failure and hepatotoxicity rates were excessive. Beyond NAT2 there is not yet compelling evidence for genetic associations with isoniazid hepatotoxicity.

Data are limited regarding the pharmacogenetics of other widely prescribed anti-TB agents including rifamycins, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, and fluoroquinolones. Rifampicin is a substrate for the organic anion-transporting polypeptide 1B1, which is encoded by SLCO1B1 [16]. In a report from South Africa involving 57 patients, a frequent SLCO1B1 polymorphism was associated with reduced rifampicin bioavailability [17], although this was not confirmed in other populations [18,19]. It is not known whether immune response genes influence rifamycin hypersensitivity reactions. Pharmacogenomic associations with newer drugs such as bedaquiline have not yet been reported.

Pharmacogenetics is also relevant to many antiretroviral drugs and a genotype-driven drug-drug interaction occurs between anti-TB and antiretroviral drugs. Rifampicin induces hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) expression, and therefore should decrease plasma efavirenz exposure by inducing CYP2B6 [20]. However, plasma efavirenz exposure paradoxically increases in some patients receiving anti-TB therapy that includes rifampicin with isoniazid, particularly when both CYP2B6 and NAT2 loss-of-function genotypes are present [21–23]. This may reflect isoniazid inhibition of CYP2A6, a minor efavirenz elimination pathway that may assume a greater role in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers [22–24].

Pharmacogenomics may impact TB and HIV care considerably, given the immense global burden of TB and HIV. Genetic analyses involving well-phenotyped clinical datasets such as those established in RePORT International, which allow relationships between human genetic variants and clinical outcomes to be characterized, may ultimately lead to safer and more effective treatment regimens.

### 4. Tuberculosis and diabetes mellitus

Adequate TB control at the population level requires better understanding of interactions with potential co-morbid risk factors. The most significant acquired TB risks factors are undernutrition, smoking, HIV, and DM [25–28]. These co-morbidities increase the risk of developing active TB and adverse treatment outcomes. Globally, 15% of TB cases are estimated to be attributable to DM [29]. The number of people worldwide with DM is expected to substantially rise during the next 20 years, with the largest increase in countries where TB is already endemic, such as Brazil, India, China, and South Africa [30,31]. Rising DM prevalence presents challenges in clinical TB management since it is associated with higher risk of adverse outcomes and relapse after the initiation of anti-TB treatment [32–34].

#### 4.1. TB-DM drug-drug interactions

Dr. Vidya Mave, a RePORT India investigator, focused on the effect of DM on the pharmacokinetics of rifampicin, isoniazid, and pyrazinamide and drug-drug interactions in the treatment of both diseases. Previous studies have shown conflicting reports on whether DM decreases the concentrations of anti-TB drugs. While earlier studies demonstrated that the presence of DM decreased rifampicin concentrations [35,36], a subsequent study showed that rifampicin, isoniazid, and pyrazinamide levels remain unchanged in DM when adjusted for body weight [37]. A study from Mexico showed that time to reach rifampicin Cmax was longer in TB patients with DM (3 h vs. 2) and that rifampicin clearance was slower in patients with TB and DM [38]. A recent study from South India showed that post 2-h rifampicin levels were unaffected by DM but the isoniazid and pyrazinamide levels were significantly lower [39].

These varied study findings demonstrate the importance of further characterizing the optimal treatment of patients with both TB and DM. Research priorities include: clarifying the effect of DM and glycated hemoglobin on delayed absorption, area under the curve, and Cmax of TB drugs; investigating the toxicity profile of TB drugs in patients with DM who may be older or have additional co-morbidities; whether high-dose or alternative rifamycins and diabetes drugs/control will be needed to optimize TB treatment outcomes; assessing metformin interactions and whether rifampicin increases metformin’s DM activity by increasing intrahepatic concentrations while concurrently decreasing its host-directed therapy activity by reducing systemic concentrations. The latter is particularly relevant given recent findings of decreased

---

**Fig. 2. Biosignature of TB-diabetes comorbidity.** Plasma levels of several markers of inflammation, immune activation, growth factors, and tissue remodeling were compared between pulmonary TB patients with or without type-2 diabetes (n = 44 patients per group). (A) Principal component analysis of candidate biomarkers for TB-diabetes comorbidity. All the biomarkers used in the principal component analysis models are displayed in (B). (B) Spearman correlation matrix displayed as a circus plot, describing associations between the circulating concentration of the candidate biomarkers and previously described prognostic factors. Variables are colored in red or blue if the levels in patients with diabetes and TB were significantly higher or lower (P < .05) than in patients with TB without diabetes, respectively, using Mann-Whitney tests after adjusting for multiple measurements (Holm method). The data presented were generated in collaboration with Dr. Subash Babu (NIAID, NIH) and Pavan Kumar (National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis, Chennai, India) and obtained from samples from patients previously studied: Andrade BB, Kumar NP, Sridhar R, Banurekha VV, Jawahar MS, Nutman TB et al. Heightened plasma levels of heme oxygenase-1 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-4 as well as elevated peripheral neutrophil counts are associated with TB-diabetes comorbidity. Chest 2014; 145: 1244-54. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.13-1799. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
mortality during TB treatment associated with metformin use [40].

4.2. Inflammation and eicosanoids in TB-DM

Dr. Bruno Andrade, a leader in the RePORT Brazil consortium, discussed how the etiology of increased risk of active TB in DM is likely multifactorial. Studies have identified specific cytokine abnormalities in persons with DM and pre-diabetes that likely contribute to both active and latent TB disease [41,42]. Patients with TB-DM display heightened levels of plasma biomarkers of inflammation, tissue remodeling, and oxidative stress, all of which could be driving increased susceptibility to adverse TB-related clinical outcomes (Fig. 2) [43].

Understanding the TB-DM interaction and its impact on the host immune system could help enhance clinical management of patients with both diseases and have a positive impact on public health. Emerging evidence shows that lipid mediators such as eicosanoids (a diverse group of bioactive lipids derived from the enzymatic or non-enzymatic oxidation of arachidonic acid) play important roles in both TB and DM pathogenesis in experimental and clinical settings [44–47]. Lipid mediators of the eicosanoid family have been shown to influence the outcome of experimental *M. tuberculosis* infection [48]. Additional investigations using macrophages demonstrated that the balance between prostaglandins and leukotrienes/lipoxins influences the fate of macrophages infected with *M. tuberculosis*. In these in vitro studies, PGE2 drives macrophage apoptosis, which is associated with better control of *M. tuberculosis* infection, whereas lipoxin A4 triggers necrotic death of the infected cells, resulting in unrestrained mycobacterial growth.

Associations between the eicosanoid balance and TB clinical outcomes were demonstrated in patients with pulmonary TB in an exploratory study performed in India and China [49]. Interestingly, these findings seemed to be independent of the status of poor glycemic control as DM was an exclusion criterion for recruitment. In a proof-of-concept experiment using an in vivo model of progressive TB in mice, supplementation with synthetic PGE2 and simultaneous pharmacological blockade of 5-lypoxigenase substantially reduced lung pathology, mortality, and mycobacterial loads in lungs, indicating that manipulation of the eicosanoid balance can serve as a strategy to reduce TB burden [49]. These results demonstrate the importance of the eicosanoid balance in driving pathological inflammation in both TB and DM; however, no study to date has evaluated the longitudinal profile of expression of the eicosanoid pathway in patients with TB-DM.

The role of eicosanoids in TB pathogenesis has not been described in detail, but preliminary studies suggest that differential expression of eicosanoid pathways may be critical in driving the inflammation associated with HIV, TB and DM. The RePORT International TB-DM working group is performing a longitudinal multi-site study simultaneously quantifying several eicosanoids to evaluate whether eicosanoid balance reflects TB disease activity and how this balance is influenced by HIV and/or DM. Characterizing the longitudinal eicosanoid profile could reveal signatures or even simple clinical characteristics to identify patients likely to benefit from an extended course of anti-TB therapy or host-directed therapies to accelerate microbiological cure. A better understanding of the contribution of DM to TB pathogenesis could allow interventions to enhance TB treatment and prevention, targeted to persons at highest risk of poor outcomes.

4.3. Screening for TB-DM

Dr. Cesar Ugarte-Gil discussed how TB and DM bi-directional screening programs may provide opportunities to engage previously undiagnosed diabetic individuals or those not retained in care for DM, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) where TB often garners more attention and funding than DM [50]. The yield of screening, however, is different among TB and DM patients: the detection of DM is higher in TB patients compared with the detection of TB among DM patients [51,52].

RePORT International provides opportunities to improve the understanding of TB-DM epidemiology. Internal RePORT funding has been mobilized to support collaborations between India, Brazil, and South Africa to better understand the molecular basis of TB-DM interactions. These and other projects are well-positioned to study the utility of bi-directional screening in LMIC settings and the evaluation of optimal screening tests for both diseases, including whether the implementation of point-of-care glycosylated hemoglobin in TB clinics...
and Xpert MTB/RIF in diabetes clinics can improve treatment outcomes in various populations [53]. Studies are also needed to assess whether improved blood glucose control in TB patients with DM can help improve TB treatment outcomes, and whether integrated TB and DM care programs are feasible and effective at improving patient care and outcomes. Furthermore, although some data suggest a higher mortality rate among MDR-TB patients with DM compared to those without DM [54], studies are needed to clarify how treatment responses, pharmacokinetics, and immune responses are affected in MDR-TB patients with DM.

5. Host immune response to M. tuberculosis infection and TB disease

Progress in the understanding of human immune response to M. tuberculosis is critical for advancing diagnostics, host-directed therapies, and vaccine development. Dr. Mark Hatherill reported advances in the identification of transcriptomic signatures of M. tuberculosis. Identification of M. tuberculosis-infected individuals with incipient TB, before progression to infectious subclinical or clinical disease, is of crucial importance for effective prevention in TB endemic countries. Incipient TB may be clinically indistinguishable from quiescent infection, but may be differentiated on the basis of an immune signature characteristic of progression to disease [55]. A 16-gene host blood RNA signature that has been validated on a qRT-PCR platform (Biomark Fluidigm) discriminates progression to TB in cases from controls up to 18 months before diagnosis among South African adolescents [36]. An 11-gene signature shows similar performance characteristics (Fig. 3) [37]. Since prognostic performance of these transcriptomic signatures is maximal immediately before the time of disease diagnosis, it is likely that the assays have diagnostic potential as triage tests. Indeed, when re-parameterized to published micro-array data, the 16-gene signature differentiates active TB disease from latently infected and uninfected individuals (area under the receiver operating curve 0.86–0.99) [56]. The utility of the 11-gene signature is being explored in a TB test & treat strategy, whereby different positivity thresholds might be used to triage individuals to curative, preventive, or no intervention, without the need for symptoms or a positive interferon-gamma release assay to allow entry into the TB screening algorithm [58]. Specifically, a high test threshold would be used as an indication for definitive investigation for active TB disease, an intermediate test threshold would be used as an indication for preventive therapy, and a negative test would eliminate the need for investigation or intervention. Such a test would allow mass community TB screening if the diagnostic and prognostic performance of these RNA signatures meets expectations. Other prerequisites for success of a TB screen & treat strategy would include acceptable signature performance in both HIV-positive and HIV-negative persons; a highly effective short-course preventive therapy regimen that could be rolled out widely and rapidly; and devolution of testing to near point-of-care.

Preliminary data suggest RNA signature performance may be sub-optimal in HIV-positive patients who are not yet established on anti-retroviral therapy [59]. Other evidence suggests that host blood RNA signatures respond rapidly to curative treatment and might be useful to predict TB treatment outcome [60]. Efforts are ongoing to reduce the RNA signature still further, to a 6-gene model or smaller, in order to transfer the assay to a point-of-care platform that would allow implementation of a TB test & treat strategy in the field [61].

6. Factors associated with M. tuberculosis transmission

6.1. Epidemiology of M. tuberculosis transmission

Effective transmission of M. tuberculosis depends on qualities of the infected host, the organism, and the exposed person. Dr. Robert Horsburgh noted that the proximity and duration of contact between an infectious host and a susceptible recipient are major predictors of disease transmission [62]. Households have been traditionally identified as important venues for TB transmission, but recent research has demonstrated that other locations, such as schools, workplaces and public transportation can also be effective transmission sites [63–66].

Infected hosts vary considerably in their ability to transmit the organism, but predictors of efficient host transmission are not well understood [67]. Factors related to the virulence of the organism that might facilitate efficient transmission are also poorly understood [68]. Some drug-resistant M. tuberculosis isolates appear less likely to be transmitted than drug-susceptible isolates, but others are quite fit and may persist in circulation, resulting in a high level of transmissibility of MDR-TB strains [69–71]. BCG vaccination and previous M. tuberculosis infection are both protective against infection (or re-infection), while exposed persons with HIV infection have increased risk of acquisition [72–75]. Prevention of transmission relies upon prompt detection and treatment of infected persons, as this can substantially shorten the duration of transmission [62]. Ongoing trials of latent MDR-TB infection treatment will hopefully provide important new regimens for prevention of MDR-TB.

Many important questions regarding the transmission of M. tuberculosis remain, including 1) What qualities make an infected host an efficient transmitter, 2) What pathogen virulence factors make for efficient transmission, 3) How can we more quickly identify persons with infectious TB disease, 4) What regimens can be used to treat latent MDR-TB infection, and 5) Can we identify the most important groups for targeted treatment of latent TB infection? RePORT International provides important opportunities for collaboration to answer several of these questions, with particular attention to household contact studies to identify risk factors for transmission and to collect isolates for in vitro studies of virulence of M. tuberculosis strains.

6.2. TB transmission in prison populations

As TB epidemics shift from more generalized settings to more concentrated environments or populations in many LMICs, numerous commentators suggest that future success in global TB elimination hinges upon the identification of high-risk groups that serve as reservoirs for broader population epidemics [76–78]. For example, prisons are likely to be a major reservoir for TB in many LMICs [79,80]. Dr. Julio Croda presented data showing that TB incidence in twelve prisons in Brazil (incident case rate 1760 per 100,000 people) may drive broader population incidence (42 per 100,000 people) [79]. The research team used a combination of conventional case-control methods together with traditional molecular approaches to examine potential TB linkages between prisons and community settings. Among 240 cases of TB occurring over a period of 46 months, 180 (75%) occurred in the community and 60 (25%) occurred among prisoners [81]. Among those occurring in the community, 23% occurred among ex-prisoners, and previous incarceration was the strongest risk factor for transmission. The research group presented data showing an infectious host and susceptible recipient are major predictors of disease transmission [62]. Households have been traditionally identified as important venues for TB transmission, but recent research has demonstrated that other locations, such as schools, workplaces and public transportation can also be effective transmission sites [63–66].

Subsequently, the team matched TB notifications in the state to prison entrances and releases from 2007 to 2014; they verified 615 TB cases among 42,925 inmates. TB notifications rose steadily from the time of incarceration, peaking at five years, and upon release remained elevated (∼ 5 time the general population rate) and slowly declined over the following seven years. Prisoners account for 8% of all TB cases in Brazil, a number that has grown over the past five years [82]. Even this may underestimate the importance of prisoners, however, due to the high TB rates observed among ex-prisoners, who also contribute to onward transmission. Among 319 isolates for which genotyping by IS6110 restriction fragment length polymorphism was performed, 76% could be classified in clusters of 2–40 cases. The majority of clusters included prisoners or ex-prisoners and community members. Whole genome sequencing of 31 cases from the largest cluster demonstrated support for close phylogenetic relatedness for strains in and outside of
prisons, suggesting the TB epidemic in prisons may be spilling over into the general population.

The work assessing TB in and around Brazilian prison populations has led to innovative methods to maximize efficiency and cost-effectiveness in screening large reservoirs of persons at high risk for TB. One such method that is being studied is the use of a mobile diagnostic unit with molecular testing of pooled sputum specimens, followed by individual testing of positive pools (as is done for detecting pathogens in donated blood). Collaborative studies among RePORT International sites could test the accuracy and efficiency of methods of pooled screening with the potential for global implementation. Although the Common Protocol does not currently allow for enrollment of prisoners, select sites or consortia may consider future modifications to allow such populations to be studied.

7. Priorities for further scientific inquiry

The RePORT International meeting not only highlighted numerous advances in multiple aspects of TB research in recent years, but also exposed critical knowledge gaps and opportunities for further study using the RePORT International platform (Table 2). The RePORT International infrastructure provides opportunities to identify and implement innovative approaches from well-established and junior investigators alike.

Detailed understanding of TB transmission remains a critical research priority. Innovative approaches are required to understand host and pathogen factors that determine why some people transmit TB (both drug-susceptible and drug-resistant) more efficiently than others. Advances could lead to targeted identification of persons who transmit tuberculosis, programmatic rapid hotspot detection, and recommendations for treatment of MDR-TB contacts. Dr. Helder Nakaya discussed how adapting GPS technology for mapping hotspots for mosquito-human malaria transmission could help TB transmission detection and prevention.

In order to optimize care for TB patients and direct public health programs and policy, a greater understanding of context-specific social determinants of TB is needed [83]. Heather Ewing presented results from a cutting edge survey platform to study knowledge, attitudes and perceptions toward TB infection and disease in Brazil. Data analysis demonstrated that increased knowledge of TB could be associated with increased stigmatization of TB. The findings suggested that more study is needed to understand complex factors that contribute to stigma and perceptions of TB infection and disease among those diagnosed and their contacts, the contribution of healthcare worker communication about TB, and behavioral drivers of seeking care and completing treatment for TB infection and disease.

8. Challenges and shortcomings

The RePORT International meeting also provided the opportunity to identify important challenges faced by RePORT International as a whole and member sites. Because RePORT International is structured around a Common Protocol, but often embedded within parent studies, investigators and government funders must determine whether the efforts to maintain Common Protocol enrollments and collection of biological specimens are justifiable and sustainable, rather than a distraction from their own respective research and funding priorities. Due to the implementation of the Common Protocol, site-specific parent studies may experience delays, and Common Protocol enrollments may similarly be delayed due to activities related to site-specific studies. RePORT International was intended to provide opportunities and resources for parent studies and newly added cross-consortium studies to succeed, though some sites have found that the requirements provide competing interests. While the Common Protocol is intended to dovetail into site-specific studies, the integration with data and specimen collection of previously established site-specific studies has been challenging, and ongoing work to consolidate data has allowed site-specific studies and Common Protocol data fields to become more closely aligned over time.

The actual governance of shared data and biological specimens is complex, particularly navigating current and evolving local and national intellectual property rights across all countries involved. Some countries have noted confusion about management responsibilities of the international and local funders, including legal obligations and mechanisms of accountability. Furthermore, the prioritization of access to biological specimens remains an important consideration, along with balancing long-term goals of ensuring sufficient quantities of samples for cross-consortium analyses with complete datasets (and therefore unused samples for long periods of time) versus immediate access to samples from Consortium members or outside investigators.

Individual sites and member countries have also experienced challenges. Funding delays and challenges coordinating logistics have led to delays in recruitment for the Common Protocol. In some cases, unanticipated delays in implementing the Common Protocol meant that parent studies had completed recruitment, leading to lower enrollment into the Common Protocol than planned. Some Principal Investigators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB in vulnerable populations (children, pregnant women, prisoners, drug users)</td>
<td>In order to improve individual patient care and more broadly advance diagnostic, treatment, and vaccine research, how can we improve understanding of host factors and immunology, M. tuberculosis characteristics, and transmission to, within, and from these populations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of incipient TB</td>
<td>What diagnostic and TB care advances need to be made so that a clinician is satisfied with a negative test result so as not to give treatment – particularly in children?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacogenomics and pharmacovigilance are vital to treat TB and co-morbidities</td>
<td>How can biomarkers be implemented for the targeted prevention of active TB disease?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative approaches to understand TB transmission</td>
<td>What impact does type 2 diabetes mellitus have on TB treatment, and how important are pre-diabetes and transient hyperglycemia?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research findings should be operationalized</td>
<td>What host and M. tuberculosis factors determine why some people transmit TB better than others?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional variations in TB epidemics</td>
<td>How should contacts of MDR-TB patients be treated?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Meeting themes and priority questions for further research.
had difficulty coordinating activities with the cycle of the funding bodies, necessitating funding from other sources to retain staff during such watershed periods. Among laboratory concerns, several sites found that specimen containers were not compatible with the freezers for storage in the RePORT biorepository for that country. Questions about common methods for sample quality assessment have been raised, and assurance of quality control for sample handling in local laboratories and country biorepositories has also been a concern. One country identified a location for the biorepository, but after the project began found out that they will need to find another location for the biorepository. The RePORT International meetings and organizational calls have provided opportunities to discuss and work to address these challenges.

9. Conclusions

The RePORT International network of sites provides a robust opportunity for cutting-edge, collaborative TB research in TB high burden countries. The collaborative design of RePORT International allows participating countries to study important TB research questions at a scale that would not otherwise be possible through enrollment of patients in local studies and harmonized observational cohorts. Partnerships that include co-funding between US and participating country governments promote country-specific interest in TB research and development of research capacity in multiple TB high-burden settings across the globe. Regular consortium-specific communication and meetings, along with calls for supplemental research applications allow investigator and country-specific research priorities to be highlighted and pursued and challenges to be addressed. Furthermore, RePORT International has made it a priority to encourage junior investigators from consortium sites to engage in the robust collaborative environment provided by the RePORT infrastructure, thus further creating opportunities for building sustainability and research capacity in TB high-burden settings. As enrollment in the Common Protocol cohorts increases in the near future, investigators will be able to leverage valuable RePORT International clinical and specimen data to make critical progress in the global fight against TB.
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